

FILED

JUL 21 2011

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)
Chief, Criminal Division

DEREK OWENS (CABN 230237)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-6488
Fax: (415) 436-7234
Email: Derek.Owens@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSEPH LAURENSEN,

Defendant.

No. CR 3-11-70348 JL

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER CONTINUING HEARING FROM
JULY 22, 2011 TO SEPTEMBER 12, 2011

This case is scheduled for an arraignment or preliminary hearing on July 22, 2011. The parties have made progress negotiating a potential pre-indictment resolution but have not yet reached a complete resolution on the case. The parties believe that continued discussions are in the interests of the defendant and the government. A continuance of the scheduled hearing will allow the parties enough time to review and confer on discovery and come to a resolution on the case. The time is required for the effective preparation and continuity of defense counsel in representation of defendant.

The parties hereby jointly and respectfully request that the Court continue this matter to

STIP. AND ORDER
CR 3-11-70348 JL

Monday, September 12, 2011 at 9:30 a.m., before the duty Magistrate Judge for a preliminary hearing.

The parties further stipulate that pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure (FRCP) 5.1(d), the time limits set forth in FRCP 5.1(c) be excluded from July 22, 2011 through September 12, 2011. The parties agree that – taking into account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases – good cause exists for this extension. Defendant also agrees to exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161. The parties represent that granting the continuance is the reasonable time necessary for continuity of defense counsel and effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

SO STIPULATED:

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

DATED: 7/20/2011

/s/ Derek Owens

DEREK OWENS
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: 7/20/2011

/s/ Ronald Tyler

RONALD TYLER
Attorney for the Defendant

For the reasons stated above, the Court hereby vacates the July 22, 2011 hearing before Magistrate Judge Timothy J. Bommer and continues it to Monday, September 12, 2011 at 9:30 a.m., before the duty Magistrate Judge for a preliminary hearing. The Court further finds that an exclusion of time from July 22, 2011 through September 12, 2011 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(7)(A); FRCP 5.1(d). The failure to grant the requested

1 continuance would deny the defendant of continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel
2 the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
3 diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

4
5 SO ORDERED.

6
7 DATED: 7/21/11

8 
9 THE HONORABLE TIMOTHY J. BOMMER
10 United States Magistrate Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28